

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 12/27/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  Benjamin N. Eldridge	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,528				P6C3-US	2563
50905	7590 01/06/2006			EXAMINER	
N. KENNE	TH BUR	RASTON	KOBERT, RUSSELL MARC		
KIRTON &	MCCONK	CIE			
P.O. BOX 4:	5120		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAKI	ECITY, U	JT 84145-0120	2829		

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/034,528	ELDRIDGE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Russell M. Kobert	2829					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 O</u>	ctober 2005.						
· _ ·	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 43,48,49,51-57,59-65 and 74-101 is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 43,48,49,51-57,59-65 and 74-101 is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	on/(PTO-413)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1104 &amp; 1005.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail						

Art Unit: 2829

1. Applicants are hereby notified that the Letter titled "Notice of Improper Request For Continued Examination (RCE)" mailed on 3 November 2005 is hereby withdrawn.

All issues presented therein should be disregarded.

- 2. Further to the above, Applicants are hereby notified that the RCE filed 3 October 2005 has been re-entered.
- 3. The details of the prior Office Action mailed on December 27, 2001 are reiterated as follows in response to the RCE filed October 3, 2005.
- 4. Applicant's arguments, see page 11, lines 10-14, that asserts the subject matter of each of the claims is expressly directed to "a <u>tested</u> semiconductor device," and "not a probe card assembly or a combination of a probe card assembly and a tested semiconductor device", filed November 12, 2004, with respect to the rejection under 35 USC 112 has been fully considered and is persuasive. Moreover Applicant's arguments, see page 12, lines 8-14, that asserts the "product -- that is, the <u>tested</u> semiconductor device -- patentably differs from prior art semiconductor devices," filed November 12, 2004, with respect to the rejection under 35 USC 112 has been fully considered and is persuasive. Additionally, Applicant's arguments, see page 11, lines 15-22, that asserts "Applicants are <u>not attempting</u> to do what is forbidden Ex parte Lyell, 17 USPQ 1548 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990), which was cited in the Office Action" and further states "the preambles of the claims in the present application are expressly

Application/Control Number: 10/034,528 Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

directed only to a 'tested semiconductor device'," filed November 12, 2004, with respect to the rejection under 35 USC 112 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection under 35 USC 112 of claims 43, 48, 49, 51-57, 59-65, 68 and 74-83 has been withdrawn.

In summary, Applicants' claimed invention is limited to only a tested semiconductor device and nothing more than a tested semiconductor device; no patentable weight being given to the process of producing the test semiconductor device and no patentable weight given to a probe card assembly including any details of the probe card assembly in view of Applicants' own admission in the Amendment filed November 12, 2004.

- 5. Applicant's most recent arguments with respect to claims 43, 48, 49, 51-57, 59-65 and 74-101 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 43, 48, 49, 51-57, 59-65 and 74-101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Littlebury (5012187).

Application/Control Number: 10/034,528 Page 4

Art Unit: 2829

Littlebury anticipates (Figure 2) a tested semiconductor device (semiconductor chips 12 tested in wafer form and reference made to processing memory chips 12 <u>after</u> testing; see col 2, ln 26-29 and col 4, ln 40-63) produced by providing a wafer (col 4, ln 11-16; Littlebury states the preferred embodiment being in wafer form) having a plurality of semiconductor devices (12) thereon, each of the semiconductor devices including a plurality of electrical contact terminals (13) as recited in claims 43 and 82.

As to claim 48, dicing the wafer to singulate the semiconductor devices is anticipated by Littlebury (col 4, ln 11-18).

Littlebury anticipates the limitations of claims 49, 51-57, 59-65 and 74-81 and 83-101, because the additional limitations presented in each of claims 49, 51-57, 59-65 and 74-81 and 83-101 do not add patentable weight to the claimed invention and do not further narrow the scope of Applicants' claimed invention that is directed solely to "a tested semiconductor device."

8. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s) from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell Kobert whose telephone number is (571) 272-1963. For an automated menu of Tech Center 2800 phone numbers call (571) 272-2800.

Russell M. Kobert Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2829

January 3, 2006

PARESH PATEL  $^{\mathcal{O}}$